#### **Stanley Wastewater Treatment Facility**

Last Updated: Reporting For:

7/1/2020

2019

#### **Influent Flow and Loading**

1. Monthly Average Flows and BOD Loadings

1.1 Verify the following monthly flows and BOD loadings to your facility.

Influent No. 701	Influent Monthly Average Flow, MGD	х	Influent Monthly Average BOD Concentration mg/L	х	8.34	==	Influent Monthly Average BOD Loading, lbs/day
January	0.4892	Х	134	х	8.34	=	548
February	0.5065	х	109	х	8.34	=	460
March	0.6394	Х	187	х	8.34	=	997
April	0.7253	Х	125	Х	8.34	11	753
May	0.7416	х	128	х	8.34	=	790
June	0.6440	Х	140	х	8.34	=	754
July	0.7494	х	119	Х	8.34	=	741
August	0.5730	Х	153	Х	8.34	=	731
September	0.5870	х	121	Х	8.34	=	594
October	0.6507	х	112	х	8.34	=	605
November	0.5588	х	105	х	8.34	=	489
December	0.6072	х	114	х	8.34	=	576

2. Maximum Monthly Design Flow and Design BOD Loading

2.1 Verify the design flow and loading for your facility.

Design	Design Factor	X	%	=	% of Design
Max Month Design Flow, MGD	1.024	х	90	=	0.9216
		X	100	=	1.024
Design BOD, Ibs/day	1275	×	90	=	1147.5
		Х	100	=	1275

2.2 Verify the number of times the flow and BOD exceeded 90% or 100% of design, points earned, and score:

	1			N1	Number of times
			Number of times		Number of times
	of	·	flow was greater		BOD was greater
	Influent	than 90% of	than 100% of	than 90% of design	than 100% of design
January	1	0	0	0	0
February	1	0	0	0	0
March	1	0	0	0	0
April	1	0	0	0	0
May	1	0	0	0	0
June	1	0	0	0	0
July	1	0	0	0	0
August	1	0	0	0	0
September	1	0	0	0	0
October	1	0	0	0	0
November	1	0	0	0	0
December	1	0	0	0	0
Points per ea	ach	2	1	3	2
Exceedances	5	0	0	Ò	0
Points		0	0	0	0
Total Numb	er of Po	oints			0

0

#### Last Updated: Reporting For: **Stanley Wastewater Treatment Facility** 2019 7/1/2020 3. Flow Meter 3.1 Was the influent flow meter calibrated in the last year? Enter last calibration date (MM/DD/YYYY) 2019-11-04 o No If No, please explain: 4. Sewer Use Ordinance 4.1 Did your community have a sewer use ordinance that limited or prohibited the discharge of excessive conventional pollutants ((C)BOD, SS, or pH) or toxic substances to the sewer from industries, commercial users, hauled waste, or residences? Yes O No If No, please explain: 4.2 Was it necessary to enforce the ordinance? o Yes No If Yes, please explain: 5. Septage Receiving 5.1 Did you have requests to receive septage at your facility? Septic Tanks Holding Tanks **Grease Traps** Yes Yes Yes o No O No o No 5.2 Did you receive septage at your facility? If yes, indicate volume in gallons. Septic Tanks gallons o Yes No Holding Tanks gallons o Yes No **Grease Traps** gallons o Yes No 5.2.1 If yes to any of the above, please explain if plant performance is affected when receiving any of these wastes. 6. Pretreatment 6.1 Did your facility experience operational problems, permit violations, biosolids quality concerns, or hazardous situations in the sewer system or treatment plant that were attributable to commercial or industrial discharges in the last year? o Yes No If yes, describe the situation and your community's response.

6.2 Did your facility accept hauled industrial wastes, landfill leachate, etc.?

#### **Stanley Wastewater Treatment Facility**

Last Updated: Reporting For:

7/1/2020 2019

o Yes

No

If yes, describe the types of wastes received and any procedures or other restrictions that were in place to protect the facility from the discharge of hauled industrial wastes.

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	Α

**Stanley Wastewater Treatment Facility** 

Last Updated: Reporting For:

7/1/2020

2019

0

### Effluent Quality and Plant Performance (BOD/CBOD)

- 1. Effluent (C)BOD Results
- 1.1 Verify the following monthly average effluent values, exceedances, and points for BOD or **CBOD**

Outfall No. 001	Monthly Average	90% of Permit Limit	Effluent Monthly Average (mg/L)	Months of Discharge with a Limit	Permit Limit Exceedance	90% Permit Limit Exceedance
	Limit (mg/L)	> 10 (mg/L)	12	WICH a LITTIC	0	0
January	20	18		4	0	0
February	20	18	15	<u> </u>		
March	20	18	9	1	0	0
April	20	18	12	1	0	0
May	10	10	5	1	0	0
June	10	10	5	1	0	0
July	10	10	8	1	0	0
August	10	10	5	1	0	0
September	10	10	3	1	0	0
October	10	10	2	1	0	0
November	20	18	2	1	0	0
December	20	18	5	1	0	0
		* Eq	uals limit if limit is	s <= 10		
Months of d	lischarge/yr			12		
		ce with 12 mo	nths of discharge		7	3
Exceedance		0	0			
Points				3.000	0	0
Total num	ber of points					0

NOTE: For systems that discharge intermittently to state waters, the points per monthly exceedance for this section shall be based upon a multiplication factor of 12 months divided by the number of months of discharge. Example: For a wastewater facility discharging only 6 months of the year, the multiplication factor is 12/6 = 2.0

1.2 If any violations occurred, what action was taken to regain compliance?

<ol><li>Flow</li></ol>	Meter	Cali	bra	tion
------------------------	-------	------	-----	------

2.1 Was the effluent flow meter calibrated in the last year?

Yes

Enter last calibration date (MM/DD/YYYY)

2019-11-04

o No

If No, please explain:

- 3. Treatment Problems
- 3.1 What problems, if any, were experienced over the last year that threatened treatment?

none

- 4. Other Monitoring and Limits
- 4.1 At any time in the past year was there an exceedance of a permit limit for any other pollutants such as chlorides, pH, residual chlorine, fecal coliform, or metals?
- o Yes
- No

### Stanley Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For: 7/1/2020 **2019** 

	一
If Yes, please explain:	_
4.2 At any time in the past year was there a failure of an effluent acute or chronic whole effluent toxicity (WET) test?	-
o Yes	
• No	
If Yes, please explain:	_
	$\ $
4.3 If the biomonitoring (WET) test did not pass, were steps taken to identify and/or reduce source(s) of toxicity?	
o Yes	ł
o No	
• N/A	
Please explain unless not applicable:	_
	71
	J١

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	Α

**Stanley Wastewater Treatment Facility** 

Last Updated: Reporting For:

7/1/2020

2019

### **Effluent Quality and Plant Performance (Total Suspended Solids)**

1. Effluent Total Suspended Solids Results

1.1 Verify the following monthly average effluent values, exceedances, and points for TSS:

Outfall No. 001	Monthly Average	90% of Permit Limit	Effluent Monthly Average (mg/L)	Months of Discharge	Permit Limit Exceedance	90% Permit Limit		
	Limit (mg/L)	>10 (mg/L)		with a Limit		Exceedance		
January	20	18	2	1	0	0		
February	20	18	3	1	0	0		
March	20	18	7	1	0	0		
April	20	18	6	1	0	0		
May	10	10	3	1	0	0		
June	10	10	2	1	0	0		
July	10	10	2	1	0	0		
August	10	10	2	1	0	0		
September	10	10	1	1	0	0		
October	10	10	1	1	0	0		
November	20	18	2	1	0	0		
December	20	18	2	1	0	0		
	<u> </u>	* Eq	uals limit if limit is	s <= 10				
Months of D	ischarge/yr			12				
Points per each exceedance with 12 months of discharge: 7								
Exceedances 0								
Points					0	0		
	ber of Points					0		

NOTE: For systems that discharge intermittently to state waters, the points per monthly exceedance for this section shall be based upon a multiplication factor of 12 months divided by the number of months of discharge.

Example: For a wastewater facility discharging only 6 months of the year, the multiplication factor is 12/6 = 2.0

1.2 If any violations occurred, what action was taken to regain compliance?

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	Α

**Stanley Wastewater Treatment Facility** 

Last Updated: Reporting For:

7/1/2020

2019

**50** 

#### **Effluent Quality and Plant Performance (Ammonia - NH3)**

1. Effluent Ammonia Results

1.1 Verify the following monthly and weekly average effluent values, exceedances and points for ammonia

Outfall No.	Monthly	Weekly	Effluent	Monthly	Effluent	Effluent	Effluent	Effluent	Weekly
001	Average	Average	Monthly	Permit	Weekly	Weekly	Weekly	Weekly	Permit
	NH3	NH3	Average	Limit	Average		Average	Average	Limit
	Limit	Limit	NH3	Exceed	1 .		for week	for Week 4	Exceed ance
	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	ance	1	2	3	4	ance
January	4.5		13.72666	66671					
February	4.5		12.44545	454 <i>5</i> 1					
March	4.5		11.63571	12861					
April	4.5		13.03571	<del>1</del> 2861					
May	2.4		3.984615	385 1					
June	2.4		0	0					
July	2.4		0	0					
August	2.4		.0461538	46 0					
September	2.4		.1153846	15 0					
October	7.3		.3071428	57 0					
November	7.3		3.91	0					
December	7.3		1.075	0					1
Points per e	ach excee	dance of l	Monthly av	/erage:					10
Exceedance	s, Monthly	/:							5
Points:								50	
Points per each exceedance of weekly average (when there is no monthly average):								2.5	
Exceedance	s, Weekly								0
Points:									0
Total Num	ber of Po	ints							50

NOTE: Limit exceedances are considered for monthly OR weekly averages but not both. When a monthly average limit exists it will be used to determine exceedances and generate points. This will be true even if a weekly limit also exists. When a weekly average limit exists and a monthly limit does not exist, the weekly limit will be used to determine exceedances and generate points.

1.2 If any violations occurred, what action was taken to regain compliance?

New operator, operational changes were made to the system to regain compliance.

Total Points Generated	50
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	50
Section Grade	F

### Stanley Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For: 7/1/2020 **2019** 

Bi	osolid	s Oualit	y and	Mana	agement
----	--------	----------	-------	------	---------

1. Biosolids Use/Disposal 1.1 How did you use or dispose of your biosolids? (Check all that apply)  ☐ Land applied under your permit  ☐ Publicly Distributed Exceptional Quality Biosolids  ☐ Hauled to another permitted facility  ☐ Landfilled  ☐ Incinerated  ☑ Other  NOTE: If you did not remove biosolids from your system, please describe your system type such as lagoons, reed beds, recirculating sand filters, etc.  1.1.1 If you checked Other, please describe:	
We have reed bed system so no bio solids were land applied.	
o 90 - 119 days (30 Points) o < 90 days (40 Points)  ● N/A (0 Points) 6.2 If you checked N/A above, explain why.	0
We have no bio solids storage. We utilize our reed beds for our bio solids.	
7. Issues 7.1 Describe any outstanding biosolids issues with treatment, use or overall management:  Currently working with engineering firm to address bio solids issues with capacity on reed beds.	:

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	Α

**Stanley Wastewater Treatment Facility** 

Last Updated: Reporting For: 7/1/2020 **2019** 

## Staffing and Preventative Maintenance (All Treatment Plants)

1. Plant Staffing 1.1 Was your wastewater treatment plant adequately staffed last year?  O Yes	
No     If No. please explain:	
If No, please explain:  We had turnover within department, New operator was hired late in the year.	
Could use more help/staff for:	
Maintenance, daily operations, collection system	I
1.2 Did your wastewater staff have adequate time to properly operate and maintain the plant and fulfill all wastewater management tasks including recordkeeping?  O Yes	
● No	
If No, please explain:	
maintenance records are currently being updated and electronically stored. Due to lack of staff maintenance was preformed, but not always recorded.	
<ul> <li>2. Preventative Maintenance</li> <li>2.1 Did your plant have a documented AND implemented plan for preventative maintenance on major equipment items?</li> <li>Yes (Continue with question 2) □□</li> <li>No (40 points)□□</li> </ul>	
If No, please explain, then go to question 3:	
<ul> <li>2.2 Did this preventative maintenance program depict frequency of intervals, types of lubrication, and other tasks necessary for each piece of equipment?</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>O No. (10 points)</li> </ul>	0
O No (10 points)	
<ul> <li>2.3 Were these preventative maintenance tasks, as well as major equipment repairs, recorded and filed so future maintenance problems can be assessed properly?</li> <li>Yes</li> </ul>	
Paper file system	
o Computer system	
o Both paper and computer system	
o No (10 points)	
<ul> <li>3. O&amp;M Manual</li> <li>3.1 Does your plant have a detailed O&amp;M and Manufacturer Equipment Manuals that can be used as a reference when needed?</li> <li>Yes</li> </ul>	
o No	<u> </u>
<ul> <li>4. Overall Maintenance /Repairs</li> <li>4.1 Rate the overall maintenance of your wastewater plant.</li> <li>o Excellent</li> <li>Very good</li> </ul>	
o Good	
o Fair	
o Poor	
Describe your rating:	Щ.

#### **Stanley Wastewater Treatment Facility**

Last Updated: Reporting For:

7/1/2020

2019

We have a very experienced maintenance man on staff. He is very knowledgeable and pertinent on getting things done when needed, but due to lack of staffing and being required to preform maintenance throughout the entire city he sometimes gets spread thin. In my opinion maintenance at the wastewater plant could be a full time job.

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	Α

Stanley Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For:

7/1/2020 **2019** 

## Operator Certification and Education

peracoi	Certification and Educa	LIOII				
	,	-charge during the	report year?			0
	NIEL L BURNS					
Certificat						
Certificat	31770					
2.1 In acc	ition Requirements cordance with Chapter NR 114.56 ass(es) were required for the op plant and what level and subcla	erator-in-charge (O	(C) to operat	e the waster	water	
Sub	SubClass Description	WWTP		OIC		
Class		Advanced	OIT	Basic	Advanced	
A1	Suspended Growth Processes	X			X	
A2	Attached Growth Processes					
A3	Recirculating Media Filters					
A4	Ponds, Lagoons and Natural					İ
A5	Anaerobic Treatment Of Liquid					
В	Solids Separation	Χ			X	
C	Biological Solids/Sludges	Χ			X	0
Р	Total Phosphorus	Х			X	
N	Total Nitrogen					
D	Disinfection	Χ			Х	
L	Laboratory	Χ			X	
U	Unique Treatment Systems					
SS	Sanitary Sewage Collection	X	Х	NA	NA	
plant? (N only.) ● Yes (0	the operator-in-charge certified a ote: Certification in subclass SS, points) 0 points)	at the appropriate le N and A5 not requi	vel and subored in 2019;	class(es) to c subclass SS	perate this is basic level	
3.1 In the to ensure of the fol	sion Planning a event of the loss of your design the continued proper operation lowing options (check all that ap or more additional certified opera rangement with another certified rangement with another commu- erator on staff who has an opera tified within one year sultant to serve as your certified of the above (20 points)	and maintenance of ply)? tors on staff I operator nity with a certified tor-in-training certif operator	f the plant th operator	nat includes (	one or more	0
4. Continu	ing Education Credits					

#### **Stanley Wastewater Treatment Facility**

Last Updated: Reporting For:

7/1/2020

2019

4.1 If you had a designated operator-in-charge, was the operator-in-charge earning Continuing Education Credits at the following rates?

OIT and Basic Certification:

• Averaging 6 or more CECs per year.

o Averaging less than 6 CECs per year.

Advanced Certification:

O Averaging 8 or more CECs per year.

o Averaging less than 8 CECs per year.

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	Α

### Stanley Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For: 7/1/2020 **2019** 

<b>Finan</b>	cial	Mana	gemer	it
--------------	------	------	-------	----

1. Provider of Financial Information	
Name: Cyndi Bergman	
Telephone: 715-644-5758 (XXX) XXX-XXXX	
E-Mail Address	
(optional):	
<ul> <li>2. Treatment Works Operating Revenues</li> <li>2.1 Are User Charges or other revenues sufficient to cover O&amp;M expenses for your wastewattreatment plant AND/OR collection system?</li> <li>Yes (0 points) □□</li> <li>No (40 points)</li> <li>If No, please explain;</li> </ul>	er
The please explain.	
3.2. When was the User Charge System or other revenue source(s) last reviewed and/or revi	sed2
2.2 When was the User Charge System or other revenue source(s) last reviewed and/or reviewer:	seu:
2019	0
● 0-2 years ago (0 points) □□	
o 3 or more years ago (20 points)□□	
o N/A (private facility)	\
<ul> <li>2.3 Did you have a special account (e.g., CWFP required segregated Replacement Fund, etc. financial resources available for repairing or replacing equipment for your wastewater treatment and/or collection system?</li> <li>Yes (0 points)</li> </ul>	
o No (40 points)	
REPLACEMENT FUNDS [PUBLIC MUNICIPAL FACILITIES SHALL COMPLETE QUESTION 3]	
3. Equipment Replacement Funds 3.1 When was the Equipment Replacement Fund last reviewed and/or revised? Year: 2019	į
● 1-2 years ago (0 points)□□	
o 3 or more years ago (20 points)□□	
o N/A	
If N/A, please explain:	<del></del>
3.2 Equipment Replacement Fund Activity	
3.2.1 Ending Balance Reported on Last Year's CMAR \$ 259,320.8	9
3.2.2 Adjustments - if necessary (e.g. earned interest, \$ 0.0 audit correction, withdrawal of excess funds, increase making up previous shortfall, etc.)	0
3.2.3 Adjusted January 1st Beginning Balance \$ 259,320.89	
3.2.4 Additions to Fund (e.g. portion of User Fee, earned interest, etc.) + \$ 221,368.00	

Stanley Wastewater Treatment Facility	Last Updated: Reporting For 7/1/2020 <b>2019</b>
3.2.5 Subtractions from Fund (e.g., equipment replacement, major repairs - use description box 3.2.6.1 below*)	- \$ 24,936.44
3.2.6 Ending Balance as of December 31st for CMAR Reporting Year	\$ 455,752.45
All Sources: This ending balance should include all Equipment Replacement Funds whether held in a bank account(s), certificate(s) of deposit, etc.	
3.2.6.1 Indicate adjustments, equipment purchases, and	or major repairs from 3.2.5 above.
2018 Replacement fund should have been 221,368	
3.3 What amount should be in your Replacement Fund?	\$ 246,304.44
Please note: If you had a CWFP loan, this amount was o Assistance Agreement (FAA) and should be regularly upoinstructions and an example can be found by clicking the header in the left-side menu.  3.3.1 Is the December 31 Ending Balance in your Replace greater than the amount that should be in it (#3.3)?  • Yes • No If No, please explain.	ated as needed. Further calculation SectionInstructions link under Info
<ul> <li>4. Future Planning</li> <li>4.1 During the next ten years, will you be involved in formor new construction of your treatment facility or collection o Yes - If Yes, please provide major project information</li> <li>No</li> <li>Project Description</li> </ul>	system? if not already listed below.□□  Estimated Approximate
#	Cost Construction
None reported	Year
5. Financial Management General Comments	
ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND USE	
6. Collection System 6.1 Energy Usage 6.1.1 Enter the monthly energy usage from the different	energy sources:
COLLECTION SYSTEM PUMPAGE: Total Power Const	med
Number of Municipally Owned Pump/Lift Stations:	8

### **Stanley Wastewater Treatment Facility**

Last Updated: Reporting For: 7/1/2020 **2019** 

	Electricity Consumed (kWh)	Natural Gas Consumed (therms)
January	34,973	395
February	32,871	525
March	30,700	375
April	26,257	163
May	35,936	28
June	38,831	7
July	36,920	0
August	41,179	1
September	42,515	0
October	36,947	1
November	31,370	120
December	34,138	312
Total	422,637	1,927
Average	35,220	193

6.1.2 Comments:
6.2 Energy Related Processes and Equipment
6.2.1 Indicate equipment and practices utilized at your pump/lift stations (Check all that apply):
☐ Comminution or Screening
☐ Extended Shaft Pumps
☐ Flow Metering and Recording
☐ Pneumatic Pumping
SCADA System
☑ Self-Priming Pumps
☑ Submersible Pumps
☑ Variable Speed Drives
Other:
6.2.2 Comments:
Control of the contro
6.3 Has an Energy Study been performed for your pump/lift stations?
● No o Yes
Year:
D. Milane
By Whom:
Describe and Comment:

#### **Stanley Wastewater Treatment Facility**

Last Updated: Reporting For: 7/1/2020 **2019** 

6.4 Future Energy	Related	Equipment
-------------------	---------	-----------

6.4.1 What energy efficient equipment or practices do you have planned for the future for your pump/lift stations?

#### 7. Treatment Facility

7.1 Energy Usage

7.1.1 Enter the monthly energy usage from the different energy sources:

#### TREATMENT PLANT: Total Power Consumed/Month

	Electricity Consumed (kWh)	Total Influent Flow (MG)	Electricity Consumed/ Flow (kWh/MG)	Total Influent BOD (1000 lbs)	Electricity Consumed/ Total Influent BOD (kWh/1000lbs)	Natural Gas Consumed (therms)
January	34,973	15.17	2,305	16.99	2,058	395
February	32,871	14.18	2,318	12.88	2,552	525
March	30,700	19.82	1,549	30.91	993	375
April	26,257	21.76	1,207	22.59	1,162	163
May	35,936	22.99	1,563	24.49	1,467	28
June	38,831	19.32	2,010	22.62	1,717	7
July	36,920	23.23	1,589	22.97	1,607	0
August	41,179	17.76	2,319	22.66	1,817	1
September	42,515	17.61	2,414	17.82	2,386	0
October	36,947	20.17	1,832	18.76	1,969	1
November	31,370	16.76	1,872	14.67	2,138	120
December	34,138	18.82	1,814	17.86	1,911	312
Total	422,637	227.59		245.22		1,927
Average	35,220	18.97	1,899	20.44	1,815	193

7.1.2 Comments	/	COMMISSION
----------------	---	------------

7.2 Energy Related Processes and Equipment

7.2.1 Indicate equipment and practices utilized at your treatment facility (Check all that apply):

- ☐ Aerobic Digestion
- ☑ Anaerobic Digestion
- ☑ Biological Phosphorus Removal
- □ Coarse Bubble Diffusers
- ☑ Dissolved O2 Monitoring and Aeration Control
- □ Effluent Pumping
- ⊠ Fine Bubble Diffusers
- ☑ Influent Pumping
- ☐ Mechanical Sludge Processing
- ☑ Nitrification

- ☑ Variable Speed Drives
- Other:

#### **Stanley Wastewater Treatment Facility**

2019 7/1/2020 Reed Beds 7.2.2 Comments: 7.3 Future Energy Related Equipment 7.3.1 What energy efficient equipment or practices do you have planned for the future for your treatment facility? N/A 8. Biogas Generation 8.1 Do you generate/produce biogas at your facility? No o Yes If Yes, how is the biogas used (Check all that apply): ☐ Flared Off ☐ Building Heat ☐ Process Heat ☐ Generate Electricity ☐ Other: 9. Energy Efficiency Study 9.1 Has an Energy Study been performed for your treatment facility? No o Yes ☐ Entire facility Year: By Whom: Describe and Comment: ☐ Part of the facility Year: By Whom: Describe and Comment:

Last Updated: Reporting For:

Stanley Wastewater Treatment Facility	Last Updated:	Reporting For:
Julius, in additional and a second of the se	7/1/2020	2019

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	Α

**Stanley Wastewater Treatment Facility** 

Last Updated: Reporting For:

7/1/2020

2019

### **Sanitary Sewer Collection Systems**

1. Capacity, Management, Operation, and Maintenance (CMOM) Program
1.1 Do you have a CMOM program that is being implemented?  • Yes
o No
If No, explain:
Tr No, explain.
1.2 Do you have a CMOM program that contains all the applicable components and items according to Wisc. Adm Code NR 210.23 (4)?
● Yes
o No (30 points)
o N/A
If No or N/A, explain:
<ul><li>1.3 Does your CMOM program contain the following components and items? (check the components and items that apply)</li><li> ☑ Goals [NR 210.23 (4)(a)]</li></ul>
Describe the major goals you had for your collection system last year:
Clean and jet sewers, update lift station controls to scada system.
Did you accomplish them?
• No
If No, explain:
Due to lack of staffing and turnover within the sewer department not all goals were met.
✓ Organization [NR 210.23 (4) (b)] $\Box\Box$ Does this chapter of your CMOM include:
☑ Organizational structure and positions (eg. organizational chart and position descriptions)
☑ Internal and external lines of communication responsibilities
☑ Person(s) responsible for reporting overflow events to the department and the public
□ Legal Authority [NR 210.23 (4) (c)]
What is the legally binding document that regulates the use of your sewer system?
Sewer Ordinance
If you have a Sewer Use Ordinance or other similar document, when was it last reviewed and revised? (MM/DD/YYYY) 2019-11-18
Does your sewer use ordinance or other legally binding document address the following:  ☐ Private property inflow and infiltration
☐ Private property fillow and filling design, construction, installation, testing and inspection
☐ Rehabilitated sewer and lift station installation, testing and inspection
Sewage flows satellite system and large private users are monitored and controlled, as
necessary
☐ Fat, oil and grease control
☐ Enforcement procedures for sewer use non-compliance
☑ Operation and Maintenance [NR 210.23 (4) (d)]
Does your operation and maintenance program and equipment include the following:   ☐ Equipment and replacement part inventories
☑ Up-to-date sewer system map
☑A management system (computer database and/or file system) for collection system information for O&M activities, investigation and rehabilitation

#### Stanley Wastewater Treatment Facility

☑ A description of routine operation and maintenance activities (see question 2 below) □ Capacity assessment program ☐ Basement back assessment and correction ☐ Regular O&M training ☑ Design and Performance Provisions [NR 210.23 (4) (e)]
☐ □ What standards and procedures are established for the design, construction, and inspection of the sewer collection system, including building sewers and interceptor sewers on private property? ☑ State Plumbing Code, DNR NR 110 Standards and/or local Municipal Code Requirements ☐ Construction, Inspection, and Testing □ Others: ☑ Overflow Emergency Response Plan [NR 210.23 (4) (f)]□□ Does your emergency response capability include: 0 ☑ Responsible personnel communication procedures Response order, timing and clean-up ☑ Public notification protocols □ Training ☑ Annual Self-Auditing of your CMOM Program [NR 210.23 (5)]
☐ □ ☐ Special Studies Last Year (check only those that apply): ☐ Infiltration/Inflow (I/I) Analysis ☐ Sewer System Evaluation Survey (SSES) ☐ Sewer Evaluation and Capacity Managment Plan (SECAP) ☐ Lift Station Evaluation Report ☐ Others: 2. Operation and Maintenance 2.1 Did your sanitary sewer collection system maintenance program include the following maintenance activities? Complete all that apply and indicate the amount maintained. % of system/year Cleaning % of system/year Root removal % of system/year 100 Flow monitoring % of system/year Smoke testing Sewer line 0 % of system/year televising Manhole % of system/year 10 inspections # per L.S./year Lift station O&M Manhole d % of manholes rehabbed rehabilitation Mainline % of sewer lines rehabbed rehabilitation Private sewer d % of system/year inspections Private sewer I/I % of private services removal

Last Updated: Reporting For:

7/1/2020

2019

#### Last Updated: Reporting For: **Stanley Wastewater Treatment Facility** 2019 7/1/2020 River or water % of pipe crossings evaluated or maintained crossings Please include additional comments about your sanitary sewer collection system below: 3. Performance Indicators 3.1 Provide the following collection system and flow information for the past year. 46,31 Total actual amount of precipitation last year in inches 32.01 Annual average precipitation (for your location) 17.3 Miles of sanitary sewer 8 Number of lift stations 0 Number of lift station failures 0 Number of sewer pipe failures Number of basement backup occurrences 0 Number of complaints 0.626 Average daily flow in MGD (if available) 0.7494 Peak monthly flow in MGD (if available) Peak hourly flow in MGD (if available) 3.2 Performance ratios for the past year: 0.00 Lift station failures (failures/year) 0.00 Sewer pipe failures (pipe failures/sewer mile/yr) 0.00 Sanitary sewer overflows (number/sewer mile/yr) 0.00 Basement backups (number/sewer mile) 0.00 Complaints (number/sewer mile) 1.2 Peaking factor ratio (Peak Monthly: Annual Daily Avg) 0.0 Peaking factor ratio (Peak Hourly: Annual Daily Avg) Overflows LIST OF SANITARY SEWER (SSO) AND TREATMENT FACILITY (TFO) OVERFLOWS REPORTED \*\* Estimated Cause Location Date Volume (MG) None reported \*\* If there were any SSOs or TFOs that are not listed above, please contact the DNR and stop work on this section until corrected. 5. Infiltration / Inflow (I/I) 5.1 Was infiltration/inflow (I/I) significant in your community last year? o Yes No If Yes, please describe: 5.2 Has infiltration/inflow and resultant high flows affected performance or created problems in your collection system, lift stations, or treatment plant at any time in the past year? o Yes No If Yes, please describe:

#### **Stanley Wastewater Treatment Facility**

Last Updated: Reporting For: 7/1/2020 **2019** 

tunicy wastewater meaniness assumptions,	7/1/2020	2019
5.3 Explain any infiltration/inflow (I/I) changes this year from p	revious years:	
none		
5.4 What is being done to address infiltration/inflow in your colle	ection system?	
we inspect manholes and mains when we clean/jet sewers. We influent flow and monitor excessive flows.	e also keep accurate records	s of

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	Α

### **Stanley Wastewater Treatment Facility**

Last Updated: Reporting For:

7/1/2020 2019

#### **Grading Summary**

WPDES No: 0021857

SECTIONS	LETTER GRADE	GRADE POINTS	WEIGHTING FACTORS	SECTION POINTS
Influent	A	4	3	12
BOD/CBOD	A	4	10	40
TSS	Α	4	5	20
Ammonia	F	0	5	0
Biosolids	Α	4	5	20
Staffing/PM	A	4	1	4
OpCert	Α	4	1	4
Financial	Α	4	1	4
Collection	A	4	3	12
TOTALS			34	116
GRADE POINT AVERAGE (GPA) = 3.41				

#### Notes:

A = Voluntary Range (Response Optional)

B = Voluntary Range (Response Optional)

C = Recommendation Range (Response Required)

D = Action Range (Response Required)

F = Action Range (Response Required)

Stanley Wastewater	Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For: 7/1/2020 **2019** 

#### **Resolution or Owner's Statement**

Name of Governing		
Body or Owner:	City of Stanley	
Date of Resolution or		
Action Taken:	2020-05-02	
Resolution Number:	2020 03 02	
(Coolation Name of F		
Date of Submittal:		
ACTIONS SET FORTH BY T	HE GOVERNING BODY OR OWNER RELATING TO SPECIFIC CMAI	R
SECTIONS (Optional for g	rade A or B. Required for grade C, D, or F):	
Influent Flow and Loadings:	Grade = A	
Effluent Quality: BOD: Grad	e = A	
Effluent Quality: TSS: Grade	e = A	
Effluent Quality: Ammonia:		
New Operator was hired or the ammonia levels and ar	n 11/26/2019, operational changes were made to the plant to control e on track to maintain limits set by DNR.	
Biosolids Quality and Manag	gement: Grade = A	
Staffing: Grade = A		
Operator Certification: Grac	le = A	
Financial Management: Gra	de = A	
Collection Systems: Grade	= A	
(Regardless of grade, respo	nse required for Collection Systems if SSOs were reported)	
	THE COVERNMEN PORY OR OWNER RELATING TO THE OVERALL	
	THE GOVERNING BODY OR OWNER RELATING TO THE OVERALL AND ANY GENERAL COMMENTS	
(Optional for G.P.A. greater	than or equal to 3.00, required for G.P.A. less than 3.00)	
G.P.A. = 3.41		

**Stanley Wastewater Treatment Facility** 

Last Updated: Reporting For:

7/1/2020

2019

## **DNR Response to Resolution or Owner's Statement**

Name of Governing	
Body or Owner:	
	City of Stanley
Date of Resolution or	
Action Taken:	
	2020-05-02
Resolution Number:	
Date of Submittal:	7/1/2020
	HE GOVERNING BODY OR OWNER RELATING TO SPECIFIC CMAR  ade A or B. Required for grade C, D, or F):  Grade = A
DNK Response:	
Effluent Quality: BOD: Grade	e = A
Permittee Response:	
DNR Response:	
DIAK KESPONSE.	
Effluent Quality: TSS: Grade	= A
Permittee Response:	
DNR Response:	
Effluent Quality: Ammonia:	Grade = F
Permittee Response:	11/26/2019, operational changes were made to the plant to control
the ammonia levels and are	on track to maintain limits set by DNR.
DNR Response:	of track to maintain innes set by britis
The effluent ammonia qual	ity for 2019 was exceeded for the first five months of the year with a mg/L which was 304% of the limit. It is stated that operational and that the facility is now back on track. Please follow through with
Biosolids Quality and Manag Permittee Response:	ement: Grade = A
DNR Response:	
Staffing: Grade = A	

Stanley Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For: 7/1/2020 2019

	,	7/1/2020	2019	
	Permittee Response:			
ı	DNR Response:			
	perator Certification: Grade = A  Permittee Response:		-	
-	DNR Response:		1	
	inancial Management: Grade = A  Permittee Response:			
-	DNR Response:	and the second s		
(	Collection Systems: Grade = A (Regardless of grade, response required for Collection Systems if SSOs were reported) Permittee Response:  DNR Response:			
_	Please remember to audit your CMOM annually to reset goals and identify	y any problem are	as.	
ACTIONS SET FORTH BY THE GOVERNING BODY OR OWNER RELATING TO THE OVERALL GRADE POINT AVERAGE AND ANY GENERAL COMMENTS  (Optional for G.P.A. greater than or equal to 3.00, required for G.P.A. less than 3.00)  G.P.A. = 3.41  Permittee Response:  DNR G.P.A. Response:				
<u> </u>	DNR CMAR Overall Response:			
	Thank you for completing and submitting your 2019 CMAR. The CMAR is of your wastewater treatment plant, collection system and associated wa activities. Thank you for your effort to protect human health and the env managing wastewater generated by your community.	istewater managei	ment	
۳	DNR Reviewer: Stephenson, Julia Phone: (608) 785-99			

3550 Mormon Coulee Road, La Crosse, WI 54601

Address:

**Date:** 10/14/2020